arXiv:1501.00832v1 [math.FA] 5 Jan 2015

On the divergence of greedy algorithms with respect to Walsh subsystems in L

S.A.Episkoposian

e-mail: sergoep@ysu.am

In this paper we prove that there exists a function which $f(x)$ belongs to $L^1[0,1]$ such that a greedy algorithm with regard to the Walsh subsystem does not converge to $f(x)$ in $L^1[0,1]$ norm, i.e. the Walsh subsystem $\{W_{n_k}\}$ is not a quasi-greedy basis in its linear span in L^1

1. INTRODUCTION

Let a Banach space X with a norm $||\cdot|| = ||\cdot||_X$, and a basis $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $||\psi_k||_X = 1$, $k = 1, 2, ..$ be given.

Denote by Σ_m the collection of all functions in X which can be expressed as a linear combination of at most m- functions of Ψ. Thus each function $g \in \Sigma_m$ can be written in the form

$$g = \sum_{s\in\Lambda} a_s\psi_s, \quad \#\Lambda \le m.$$

For a function $f \in X$ we define its approximation error

$$\sigma_m(f,\Psi) = \inf_{g\in\Sigma_m} ||f-g||_X\,, \quad m = 1, 2, ...$$

and we consider the expansion

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(f)\psi_k.$$

Definition 1. Let an element $f \in X$ be given. Then the m-th greedy approximant of function f with regard to the basis Ψ is given by the formula

$$G_m(f,\Psi) = \sum_{k\in\Lambda} a_k(f)\psi_k,$$

where $\Lambda \subset \{1, 2, ...\}$ is a set of cardinality m such that

$$|a_n(f)| \ge |a_k(f)|, \quad n \in \Lambda, \quad k \notin \Lambda.$$

We'll say that the greedy approximant of $f(t) \in L^p_{[0,1]}$, $p \geq 1$ with regard to the basis Ψ converges, if the sequence $G_m(x,f)$ converges to $f(t)$ in L^p norm.

This new and very important direction invaded many mathematician's attention (see [1]-[9]).

Definition 2. We call a basis Ψ greedy basis if for every $f \in X$ there exists a subset $\Lambda \subset \{1, 2, ...\}$ of cardinality m, such that

$$||f - G_m(f, \Psi)||_X \leq C \cdot \sigma_m(f, \Psi)$$

where a constant $C = C(X, \Psi)$ independent of f and m.

In 1998 V.N.Temlyakov proved that each basis Ψ which is L_p -equivalent to the Haar basis H is Greedy basis for $L_p(0,1)$, $1 < p < \infty$ (see [4]).

Definition 3. We say that a basis Ψ is Quasi-Greedy basis if there exists a constant C such that for every $f \in X$ and any finite set of indices Λ, having the property

$$\min_{k\in\Lambda} |a_k(f)| \geq \max_{n\notin\Lambda} |a_k(f)|$$

we have

$$\left|\left|\sum_{k\in\Lambda} a_k(f)\psi_k\right|\right|_X \leq C \cdot ||f||_X.$$

In 2000 P.Wojtaszczyk [5] proved that a basis Ψ is quasi-greedy if and only if the sequence $\{G_m(f)\}$ converges to f, for all $f \in X$. Note that in [6] S.Konyagin and V.Temlyakov constructed an example of quasi-greedy basis that is not Greedy basis.

V.Temlyakov proved that the trigonometric system T is not a Quasi-Greedy basis for L^p if $p \neq 2$ (see [7]).

In [8] it is proved that this result is true for Walsh system.

In the sequel, we'll fix a sequence $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ so that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} (M_{2k} - M_{2k-1}) = +\infty$$

and consider a subsystem of Walsh system

$$\{W_{n_k}(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{W_m(x): \quad M_{2s-1} \leq m \leq M_{2s}, \quad s = 1, 2, ...\} \tag{1}$$

In this paper we constructed a function $f(x) \in L^1[0,1]$ such that the sequence $\{G_m(f)\}$, with respect to Walsh system, does not converge to $f(x)$ by $L^1[0,1]$ norm and we can watch for spectra of "bad" function $f(x)$.

Moreover the following is true.

Theorem . There exists a function $f(x)$ belongs to $L^1[0,1]$ such that the approximate $G_n(f, W_{n_k})$ with regard to the Walsh subsystem does not converge to $f(x)$ by $L^1[0,1]$ norm, i.e. the Walsh subsystem $\{W_{n_k}\}$ is not a quasi-greedy basis in its linear span in L^1.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM

First we will give a definition of Walsh-Paly system (see [10]).

$$W_0(x) = 1, \quad W_n(x) = \prod_{s=1}^{k} r_{m_s}(x), \quad n = \sum_{s=1}^{k} 2^{m_s}, \quad m_1 > ... > m_s, \tag{2}$$

where $\{r_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is the system of Rademacher:

$$r_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right); \\ -1, & x \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right). \end{cases}$$

$$r_0(x+1) = r_0(x), \quad r_k(x) = r_0(2^k x), \quad k = 1, 2, ...$$

In the proof of theorem we will used the following properties of Walsh system:

1. From (2) we have

$$W_{2^k+j}(x) = W_{2^k}(x) \cdot W_j(x), \quad if \quad 0 \le j \le 2^k - 1. \tag{3}$$

2. The Dirichlet-Walsh kernel $D_m(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} W_j(x)$ has the following properties (see [10] p.27)

$$D_{2^j}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^j, & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2^j}\right]; \\ 0, & x \in \left(\frac{1}{2^j}, 1\right]. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

3. There is a sequence of natural numbers $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $2^{k-1} \le m_k < 2^k$, $k = 1, 2, ...$ (see [10] p.47), such that

$$||D_{m_k}||_1 = \int_0^1 |D_{m_k}(x)| dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \log_2 m_k, \quad k = 1, 2, ... \tag{5}$$

Proof of Theorem . Taking into account (1)-(3) we can take the sequences of natural numbers $\{k_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{p_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ so that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$k_\nu > (\nu - 1)^2 + 1, \tag{6}$$

$$W_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) \cdot W_i(x) = W_{2^{k_\nu}+i}(x), \quad 0 \le i < 2^{k_\nu}, \tag{7}$$

$$2^{k_\nu} + i \in [M_{2p_\nu - 1}, M_{2p_\nu}), \quad 0 \le i < 2^{k_\nu}, \tag{8}$$

For any natural ν we set

$$f_\nu(x) = \sum_{N_\nu \le n_k < N_\nu - 1} c_{n_k}^{(\nu)} W_{n_k}(x) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k_\nu}-1} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} + 2^{-(2^{k_\nu}+i)} \right) \cdot W_{2^{k_\nu}+i}(x) =$$

$$= W_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k_\nu}-1} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} + 2^{-(2^{k_\nu}+i)} \right) \cdot W_i(x) =$$

$$= W_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\nu^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k_\nu}-1} W_i(x) + \frac{1}{2^{k_\nu}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k_\nu}-1} 2^{-i} W_i(x) \right] =$$

$$= W_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\nu^2} D_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) + \frac{1}{2^{k_\nu}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k_\nu}-1} 2^{-i} W_i(x) \right], \tag{9}$$

where

$$c_{n_k}^{(\nu)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\nu^2} + 2^{-n_k}, \quad N_\nu \le n_k = N_\nu + i < N_{\nu+1}, \quad 0 \le i < N_\nu, \\ \\ 0, \quad n_k < N_\nu, \quad \nu \ge 1, \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

$$N_\nu = 2^{k_\nu}, \quad N_{\nu+1} = 2^{k_\nu+1}. \tag{11}$$

We set

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n_k}(f) W_{n_k}(x) =$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} f_\nu(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{N_\nu \le n_k < N_\nu - 1} c_{n_k}^{(\nu)} W_{n_k}(x) \right], \tag{12}$$

where

$$c_{n_k}(f) = c_{n_k}^{(\nu)} \quad for \quad N_\nu \le n_k < N_\nu - 1, \quad \nu = 1, 2, ... \tag{13}$$

Now we will show that $f(x) \in L^1[0,1]$

Taking into account (9)-(11)we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu^2} W_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) D_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{2^{k_\nu}-1} 2^{-i} W_{2^{k_\nu}+i}(x) \right] =$$

$$= G(x) + H(x). \tag{14}$$

For function $G(x)$ from (4)and definition of Walsh system we have

$$\int_0^1 |G(x)| dx \le \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu^2} < \infty$$

and we get that $G(x) \in L^1[0,1]$.

Analogously

$$\int_0^1 |H(x)|dx \le \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\nu} < \infty$$

i.e. $H(x) \in L^1[0,1]$.

Hence and from (14) it follows that $f(x) \in L^1[0,1]$.

For any natural ν we choose numbers k, j so that

$$N_\nu \le n_k < N_{\nu+1} \le n_j < N_{\nu+2}.$$

Then from (10) we have

$$c_{n_j}(f) = c_{n_j}^{(\nu+1)} = \frac{1}{(\nu+1)^2} + 2^{-n_j} <$$

$$< \frac{1}{\nu^2} + 2^{-n_k} = c_{n_k}^{(\nu)} = c_{n_k}(f)$$

i.e. $c_{n_j}(f) < c_{n_k}(f)$.

Analogously for any number n_k, $N_\nu \le n_k < N_{\nu+1}$, $\nu \ge 1$ we have

$$c_{n_k+1}^{(\nu)} = \frac{1}{\nu^2} + 2^{(-n_k+1)} < \frac{1}{\nu^2} + 2^{-n_k} = c_{n_k}^{(\nu)}$$

Thus we get

$$c_{n_{k+1}}(f) < c_{n_k}(f).$$

In other hand if $k \to \infty$ then $n_k \to \infty$ and $\nu \to \infty$ (see (10), (11)).

From (13) we get $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} c_{n_k}(f) = 0$ and consequently $c_{n_k}(f) \searrow 0$.

For any numbers m_ν so that

$$2^{k_\nu} \le m_\nu < 2^{k_\nu+1}. \tag{15}$$

By (11) - (13) and Definition 1 we have

$$G_{2^{k_\nu}+m_\nu}(f, W_{n_k}) - G_{2^{k_\nu}}(f, W_{n_k}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=2^{k_\nu}}^{2^{k_\nu}+m_\nu-1} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} + 2^{-(2^{k_\nu}+i)}\right) \cdot W_{2^{k_\nu}+i}(x) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\nu^2} \cdot W_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) \cdot \sum_{i=2^{k_\nu}}^{2^{k_\nu}+m_\nu-1} W_i(x) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2^{k_\nu}} \cdot W_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) \cdot \sum_{i=2^{k_\nu}}^{2^{k_\nu}+m_\nu-1} \frac{1}{2^i} W_i(x) =$$

$$= J_1 + J_2. \tag{16}$$

By (6) we get

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{\nu^2} \cdot W_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{m_\nu - 1} W_{2^{k_\nu}+i}(x) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\nu^2} \cdot W^2_{2^{k_\nu}}(x) \cdot D_{m_\nu}(x).$$

$$\mid J_2 \mid \leq \sum_{i=2^{k_\nu}}^{2^{k_\nu}+m_\nu-1} \frac{1}{2^i} \mid W_i(x) \mid \leq \sum_{i=2^{k_\nu}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \leq 2^{2^{-k_\nu}+1}.$$

From this and (16)we obtain

$$\mid G_{2^{k_\nu}+m_\nu}(f, W_{n_k}) - G_{2^{k_\nu}}(f, W_{n_k}) \mid \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\nu^2} \cdot \mid D_{m_\nu}(x) \mid - 2^{2^{-k_\nu}+1}. \tag{17}$$

Now we take the sequence of natural numbers m_ν defined as (5) such that $2^{k_\nu} \leq m_\nu < 2^{k_\nu+1}$. Then from (6), (17) we have

$$\int_0^1 \mid G_{2^{k_\nu}+m_\nu}(f, W_{n_k}) - G_{2^{k_\nu}}(f, W_{n_k}) \mid dx >$$

$$> \frac{1}{\nu^2} \cdot \int_0^1 |D_{m_\nu}(x)| dx - 2^{2^{-k_\nu}+1} \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4 \cdot \nu^2} \cdot \log_2 m_\nu - 2^{2^{-k_\nu}+1} \geq \frac{k_\nu}{4 \cdot \nu^2} - 2^{2^{-k_\nu}+1} \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{(\nu-1)^2+1}{4 \cdot \nu^2} - 2^{2^{-k_\nu}+1} \geq \frac{1}{8} - 2^{2^{-k_\nu}+1} \geq C_1, \qquad \nu \geq 2$$

Thus the sequence $\{G_n(f, W)\}$ does not converge by $L^1[0,1]$ norm, i.e. the Walsh subsystem $\{W_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is not a quasi-greedy basis in its linear span in L^1.

The Theorem is proved.

Remark. As we well known (see [10] p.149) if the $c_i \searrow 0$, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n W_n(x)$ converges on $(0, 1)$. In the proof of Theorem we constructed the series (11) so that the coefficients strongly decreasing, but the series diverges by L^1 -norm.

REFERENCES

[1] DeVore R. A., Temlyakov V. N., Some remarks on Greedy Algorithms, Adv. Comput. Math., 1995, v.5, p.173-187.

[2] DeVore R. A., Some remarks on greedy algorithms. Adv Comput. Math. 5, 1996, 173-187.

[3] Davis G., Mallat S. and Avalaneda M. 1997, Adaptive greedy approximations. Constr, Approx. 13, 57-98.

[4] Temlyakov V. N., The best m - term approximation and Greedy Algorithms, Advances in Comput. Math., 1998, v.8, p.249-265.

[5] Wojtaszczyk P., Greedy Algorithm for General Biorthogonal Systems, Journal of Approximation Theory, 2000, v.107, p. 293-314.

[6] Konyagin S. V., Temlyakov V. N., A remark on Greedy Approximation in Banach spaces, East Journal on Approximation, 1999, v.5, p. 493-499.

[7] Temlyakov V. N., Greedy Algorithm and m - term Trigonometric approximation, Constructive Approx., 1998, v.14, p. 569-587.

[8] Gribonval R., Nielsen M., On the quasi-greedy property and uniformly bounded orthonormal systems , http://www.math.auc.dk/research/reports/R-2003-09.pdf.

[9] Grigorian M.G., "On the convergence of Greedy algorithm", International Conference, Mathematics in Armenia, Advances and Perspectives, Abstract, 2003, p.44 - 45, Yerevan, Armenia.

[10] Golubov B. I., Efimov A. V., Skvortsov V. A., Walsh Series and Transformations: Theory and Applications [in Russian], NAuka, Moscow, (1987); English transl.: Kluwer, Dordrecht (1991).

Department of Physics,
State University of Yerevan,
Alex Manukian 1, 375049 Yerevan, Armenia
e-mail: sergoep@ysu.am